

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/695,473	10/27/2003	Yukio Kadowaki	2271/71337	5603	
Ivan S. Kavruk	7590 . 05/21/2007 ov. Esq.	EXAMINER			
Cooper & Dunham LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas			BITAR, NANCY		
New York, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		,	2624		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/695,473	KADOWAKI, YUKIO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Nancy Bitar	2624	

·	Nancy Bitar	2624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compli following time periods: 	the same d ay as filing a Notice o ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of App eal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid ab idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (a)	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing da	ate of the final rejection.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(E FINST NEFET WAS I	ILLD WITTING TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fe statutory period for reply originally set	 The appropriate exterior in the final Office action 	ension fee under 37 ; or (2) as seinf¢brijh				
NOTICE OF APPEAL		5 1					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any explored a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a supply of the Notice of Appeal has been filed. 	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal o	f the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS			t				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL -324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will with or other evidence	not be entered s necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims a fter	entry is below or atta	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ince because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
	JOSEPH MANCU SUPERWEORY PATENT	SO EXAMINER					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 0806) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argued that Schwartz does not teach adjusting the size of the codded data such that the size is an acceptable range .Examiner disagree with applicant because Shwarts clearly teaches in paragraph [0181] that " One of the coefficient values may be MODIFIED to be either a predetermined closeness to another coefficient value (i.e. range) .